Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

Hexaamminecobalt(III) aquabis(nitrilotriacetato- $\kappa^4 N, O, O', O''$)gadolinate(III) octahydrate

Steven M. Baldwin and M. E. Kastner*

Department of Chemistry, Bucknell University, Lewisburg, PA 17837, USA Correspondence e-mail: kastner@bucknell.edu

Received 30 July 2002 Accepted 28 October 2002 Online 26 November 2002

Crystals of the title compound, $[Co(NH_3)_6][Gd(C_6H_6NO_6)_2-(H_2O)]\cdot 8H_2O$, were synthesized in and collected from aqueous solution. The hexaamminecobalt(III) cation has the expected octahedral geometry, while the Gd coordination sphere has the geometry of a tricapped trigonal prism, with the two nitrilotriacetate N atoms and one water molecule occupying the capping positions.

Comment

Gadolinium complexes have found widespread use as contrast agents in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), with an estimate of over 30 tonnes of Gd having been administered since the first commercial Gd contrast agent was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use in humans in 1988 (Caravan *et al.*, 1999; Lauffer, 1987). Each of these compounds is composed of an octadentate chelating ligand and one coordinating water molecule, forming a nine-coordinate complex. A search of Version 5.22 of the Cambridge Structural Database (Allen & Kennard, 1993) identified only 298 structures of Gd complexes. Our synthesis of Gd–nitrilotriacetate (Gd–NTA) complexes is part of an effort to increase this number, and the structure of the title Gd–NTA complex, (I), is presented here.



A bis(NTA)gadolinate complex with a $[Gd(H_2O)_x]^{3+}$ counter-ion was synthesized by Parrish *et al.* (1998) from a 1:1 mixture of gadolinium chloride and NTA. The compound was found to have a high degree of disorder, in particular in the

aqua–Gd cation, as well as either an inconveniently long cell length, c = 80.83 (2) Å, or a twinning problem.

The synthesis of (I) was undertaken in order to try to eliminate some of the problems in the original structure. Hexaamminecobalt(III) chloride was used to precipitate the anionic complex, thus eliminating the largest single source of disorder. Orange crystals of (I) formed from an aqueous 2:1 mole ratio mixture of NTA with hexaamminecobalt(III) chloride and an excess of gadolinium(III) chloride (the hygroscopic nature of the starting material precludes exact molar quantification).

Complex (I) (Fig. 1) is nine-coordinate, as are 43% of the previously reported complexes (Allen & Kennard, 1993) and 100% of FDA-approved MRI contrast agents (Caravan *et al.*, 1999). The complex is a tricapped trigonal prism (Fig. 2), as is common for nine-coordinate complexes (Guggenberger & Muetterties, 1976). Bond distances and angles for the coordination sphere of (I) are given in Table 1. The O atom of the coordinated water molecule and the two NTA N atoms occupy the capping positions. Of the eight non-coordinated water molecules, six form one hydrogen bond with carboxylate groups, while the remaining two each form hydrogen bonds





A view of the structure of (I), showing the discrete anion and cation pair. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level, and H atoms and water molecules of hydration have been omitted for clarity.



Figure 2

The coordination sphere of the gadolinate anion, showing the tricapped trigonal prism geometry with atoms N7, N8 and O13 occupying the capping positions. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

with two separate carboxylate groups. The locations of the H atoms of the water molecules were not observed experimentally and were not included. There appeared to be some disorder in the water molecules of hydration, but it was not possible to separate individual positions within the electron density.

The Co coordination sphere in (I) is octahedral, with Co-N distances in the range 1.962 (6)–1.981 (7) Å, and N-Co-N angles in the range 88.5 (3)–91.5 (3)° for *cis* N atoms and 178.8 (3)–179.4 (3)° for *trans* N atoms.

Experimental

Hexaamminecobalt(III) chloride (0.1652 g, 0.6176 mmol), the disodium salt of nitrilotriacetic acid (0.2816 g, 1.198 mmol) and gadolinium(III) chloride (approximately 1 mmol) were dissolved in water (approximately 50 ml); gadolinium chloride is hygroscopic, so an excess was used to ensure that nitrilotriacetic acid was the limiting reagent. The solvent was allowed to evaporate slowly over the course of a week, resulting in the formation of orange plates. A 26.1% yield (0.1338 g) of (I) was recovered from the reaction mixture. Elemental analyses were in agreement with the crystallographic data (expected for $C_{12}H_{48}CoGdN_8O_{21}$: C 16.82, H 5.65, N 13.08%; found: C 16.80, H 5.92, N 13.07%). Attempts to determine the melting point of the product failed, as the compound was found to decompose with a visible loss of liquid, presumably water, at approximately 373 K, leaving a red solid residue. This solid was found to have low solubility in water, but was not further characterized.

Crystal data

$[Co(NH_3)_6][Gd(C_6H_6NO_6)_2-$	$D_m = 1.77$ (3) Mg m ⁻³
$(H_2O)]\cdot 8H_2O$	D_m measured by flotation in 1,2-
$M_r = 856.76$	dibromoethane and pentane
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 11.760 (2) Å	Cell parameters from 28
b = 20.625 (4) Å	reflections
c = 14.109 (3) Å	$\theta = 1.5 - 27.5^{\circ}$
$\beta = 113.55 \ (3)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 2.72 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$V = 3137.1 (13) \text{ Å}^3$	T = 293 (2) K
Z = 4	Plate, light orange
$D_x = 1.814 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	$0.40 \times 0.29 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker R3 diffractometer $\theta/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (SHELXTL-Plus; Sheldrick, 1990) $T_{min} = 0.361, T_{max} = 0.581$ 7452 measured reflections 7126 independent reflections 5526 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.058$ $wR(F^2) = 0.172$ S = 1.127126 reflections 394 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $\begin{aligned} R_{\text{int}} &= 0.056 \\ \theta_{\text{max}} &= 27.6^{\circ} \\ h &= 0 \rightarrow 15 \\ k &= 0 \rightarrow 26 \\ l &= -18 \rightarrow 16 \\ 3 \text{ standard reflections} \\ \text{every 50 reflections} \\ \text{intensity decay: 16\%} \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{split} w &= 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0968P)^2 \\ &+ 15.8892P] \\ \text{where } P &= (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} &= 0.028 \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{max}} &= 3.76 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{min}} &= -4.27 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \end{split}$$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Gd-O4	2.342 (6)	Gd-O12	2.412 (5)
Gd-O10	2.399 (5)	Gd-O13	2.449 (6)
Gd-O6	2.399 (5)	Gd-N8	2.674 (6)
Gd-O8	2.403 (5)	Gd-N7	2.682 (6)
Gd-O2	2.411 (5)		
O4-Gd-O10	146.3 (2)	O8-Gd-O13	139.3 (2)
O4-Gd-O6	73.3 (2)	O2-Gd-O13	75.4 (2)
O10-Gd-O6	137.14 (19)	O12-Gd-O13	76.1 (2)
O4-Gd-O8	136.9 (2)	O4-Gd-N8	66.90 (18)
O10-Gd-O8	73.4 (2)	O10-Gd-N8	131.94 (18)
O6-Gd-O8	79.8 (2)	O6-Gd-N8	65.22 (18)
O4-Gd-O2	95.01 (18)	O8-Gd-N8	71.54 (19)
O10-Gd-O2	76.83 (18)	O2-Gd-N8	63.51 (17)
O6-Gd-O2	127.81 (18)	O12-Gd-N8	133.31 (19)
O8-Gd-O2	75.77 (18)	O13-Gd-N8	118.4 (2)
O4-Gd-O12	77.25 (17)	O4-Gd-N7	131.73 (18)
O10-Gd-O12	94.34 (19)	O10-Gd-N7	67.33 (17)
O6-Gd-O12	76.74 (19)	O6-Gd-N7	71.33 (18)
O8-Gd-O12	128.19 (17)	O8-Gd-N7	65.37 (17)
O2-Gd-O12	151.47 (19)	O2-Gd-N7	132.74 (18)
O4-Gd-O13	73.7 (2)	O12-Gd-N7	63.53 (17)
O10-Gd-O13	72.6 (2)	O13-Gd-N7	118.97 (19)
O6-Gd-O13	140.9 (2)	N8-Gd-N7	122.66 (19)

The H atoms of the water molecules were not located. H atoms on C and N atoms were treated as riding, with N–H distances of 0.89 Å and C–H distances of 0.97 Å. The highest peak in the difference map is 0.76 Å and the deepest hole 0.63 Å from the Gd atom.

Data collection: *XSCANS* (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: *XSCANS*; data reduction: *XSCANS*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2000).

This research was supported by a grant from the Program for Undergraduate Research at Bucknell University and by the US National Science Foundation's Research Experience for Undergraduates Program. Preliminary studies were funded by a US NIH AREA Award.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: TA1390). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Allen, F. H. & Kennard, O. (1993). Chem. Des. Autom. News, 8, 1, 31-37.
- Bruker (2000). SHELXTL. Version 6.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Caravan, P., Ellison, J. J., McMurry, T. J. & Lauffer, R. B. (1999). *Chem. Rev.* 99, 2293–2352.
- Guggenberger, L. J. & Muetterties, E. L. (1976). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 7221-7225.

Lauffer, R. B. (1987). Chem. Rev. 87, 901-927.

- Parrish, D. A., Pinkerton, A. A., Martin, T., Kastner, M. E., Belke, K. & Squattrito, P. J. (1998). American Crystallographic Association Meeting, Arlington, Virginia, USA, Abstract E0151.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL-Plus. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1996). XSCANS. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.